

MLE Research Integrity

ENERI conference 28-29 October 2019



Structures and processes Dialogue and communication

Prof. Ana Marušić, MD, PhD
University of Split School of Medicine
Split, Croatia

Challenge: Processes and structures

Characteristics of the RI/RE system

Structure

Process

Outcome

Procedures for ensuring responsible research and procedures for dealing with research misconduct

End result:
results of RE/RI
system:
Are we getting
better in responsible
research?



RI Country Report Cards

- AustriaIreland
- Bulgaria Lithuania
- DenmarkLuxembourg
- EstoniaMoldova
- FindlandNorway
- FranceSpain
- GreeceSweden



RI country report cards:

14 countries in MLE on RI

- 5 countries do not have a national RI policy
- 3 countries do not have a national body or bodies for RI
- 3 countries are not represented in the ENRIO
- 9 countries have defined procedures for handling misconduct
- 8 countries do not have specific RI expertise in the form of RI offices or officers although the level of misconduct investigation was institutional or a mixture of institutional and national
- Diversity of bodies and practices in producing RI guidelines and policies



- The definition of research integrity should be agreed at the national level in order to harmonize the processes at all levels in a country's RI system.
- 2. The criteria for RI and research ethics (RE) experts should be harmonized across Europe.
- 3. Create a national RI body that could help coordinate, monitor, educate, communicate and promote research integrity in a country.
- 4. Join the European Network of Research Integrity Offices (ENRIO).



Challenge: Dialogue and Communication

Productive dialogue among all stakeholders in RI

- Policy makers (ministries, government)
- Research funding organizations
- Research performing organizations (universities, institutes)
- Professional societies
- Individual researchers
- Journal editors
- Industry
- International bodies for RI
- The public (including advocacy groups, such as patient advocacy groups)

Recommendations

Continue talking to each other and sharing experiences

Provide clear definitions and guidance

Follow the impact of new policies

Be ambassadors of good science

Instead of a conclusion

the embassy of good science

nome

th

themes resources

discussions

training

about

Your platform for research integrity and ethics

Thank you! ana.marusic@mefst.hr

The Embassy story 2:07 min